***** CONFIDENTIAL ***** ***** PREDECISIONAL DOCUMENT *****

SUMMARY SCORESHEET FOR COMPUTING PROJECTED HRS SCORE

SITE NAME:	Gluall Wood Products					
CITY:	Los Angeles	COUNTY:	Los Angeles			
EPA ID#:	CAN000905736	_ EVALUATOR:	Amanda K.C. I	Reilly		
PROGRAM ACC	OUNT#:	_ DATE:	Jul 2, 2008			
LAT/LONG:	33° 59' 16" North -118° 14' 52" West	_				
THIS SCORESHI	OTHER:	_ SI:				
The state of the s	check all that apply):	STATE SUPERF		P, BKLG, ERAP,		
	nsporter		CP) (Date):			
X Not	DF Listed in RCRA Database as of		ARF (Date):			
	ite): 23-Jan-06		State Superfund atus (Date):	FY 06-07		
			S Pathway	S ² Pathway		
Groundwater	Migration Pathway Score (Sgw)		23.28	542.05		
Surface Water	er Migration Pathway Score (Ssw)			*		
Soil Exposur	e Pathway Score (Ss)		*	*		
Air Migration	Pathway Score (Sa)		*	*		
(Sgw 2 + Ssw 2	+ S _{se} ² + S _{am} ²)			542.05		
(Sgw + Sew -	+ S _{se} ² + S _{am} ²) / 4			135.51		
V(S 2 + S 2	+ S _{ee} ² + S _{em} ²) / 4			11.64		

Surface Water: Stormwater runoff from the site enters the storm drain system, which discharges into the Los Angeles River. The Los Angeles River is located approximately 2.5 miles northeast of the site and does not have any associated drinking water intakes, fisheries, or sensitive environments.

Soil Exposure and Air: There are currently no regularly occupied residences, schools, daycare facilities, or terrestrial sensitive environments on site. In addition, the site is fenced, inaccessible to the public, and the surface is entirely paved or covered with buildings.

^{*} Pathway evaluated, but not assigned a score (explain):

GROUNDWATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET

		Maximum		Detionals	Data
Likelihood	of Release	Value	Score	Rationale	Quality
1. Observ	red Release	550	0	1	E
2. Potenti	al to Release				
2a.	Containment	10	10	2	M
2b.	Net Precipitation Value	10	3	3	Н
2c.	Depth to Aquifer Value	5	3	4	E
2d.	Travel Time	35	25 .	5	E
2e.	Potential to Release	500	310		
	[lines 2a x (2b+2c+2d)]				
3. Likelih	nood of Release (line 1 or 2e)	55,0	310		
Waste Cha	aracteristics				
4. Toxici	ty/Mobility	(a)	1	6	E
	lous Waste Quantity	(a)	10	7	M
	Characteristics	100	2		
(line	s 4 x 5, then use Table 2-7)		-		
Targets					
7. Neares	t Well Value	50	9	8	Н
8. Popula	tion				
8a.	Level I Concentrations	(b,c)	0	9	E
8b.	Level II Concentrations	(b,c)	0	9	E
8c.	Potential Contamination	(b,c)	3,089	10	Н
8d.	Population (lines 8a+8b+8c)	(b)	3,089		
9. Resour	ces	5	0	11	E
10. Wellhe	ead Protection Area	20	0	12	E
11. Target	s (lines 7+8d+9+10)	(b)	3,098		
Aquifer So	core				
	r Score [(lines 3 x 6 x 11)/82500,	100	23.28		

13. Pathway Score (Sgw) 100 23.28

(Highest score from line 12 for all aquifers evaluated, subject to a maximum of 100)

AQUIFER EVALUATED Gaspur through Sunnyside

⁽a) Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category.

⁽b) Maximum value not applicable.

⁽c) Value computed on attached calculation sheet.

GROUNDWATER PATHWAY CALCULATIONS FOR POPULATION

ACTUAL CONTAMINATION

Well Identifier	Contaminant Detected	Contaminant Concentration (Note Units)	Benchmark (Note Units)	Level Multiplier* (A)	Apportioned Population Well Serves (B)	Actual Contamination Factor (A x B)
* Level Multipliers:		*			CONCENTRATIONS	0

Level I = 10.

Level II = 1.

POTENTIAL CONTAMINATION

Distance Ring (Miles)	Number of Wells Within Distance Ring	Population Served by Wells Within Distance Ring	Distance Weighted Population Values (Table 3-12)
0.00 to 0.25	0	0	0
>0.25 to 0.50	0	0	0
>0.50 to 1.00	2	8,894	1,669
>1.00 to 2.00	9	35,645	9,385
>2.00 to 3.00	9	47,522	6,778
>3.00 to 4.00	17	101,725	13,060
			30,892
POTENTIAL CON	TAMINATION	: SUM/10	3,089.2

AQUIFER EVALUATED Gaspur through Sunnyside

****CONFIDENTIAL**** ***PREDECISIONAL DOCUMENT***

HRS RATIONALE Gluall Wood Products EPA ID NO.: CAN000905736

1. The Gluall Wood Products (Gluall) site has manufactured unfinished and finished furniture since at least 1980. Other operations conducted on site include wood laminating and wood carving. Hazardous substances used on site include, but are not limited to: waste oil, paints and solvents. An observed release cannot be documented at this time because there has been no known groundwater or soil sampling at the Gluall site. A release is not projected because the property is entirely paved or covered with buildings. The 36 municipal drinking water wells within 4 miles of the site are not known to be contaminated with hazardous substances attributable to the site. In 2003, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected in three drinking water wells located within 4 miles of the site. These wells are either offline or being treated prior to distribution. An EPA Region IX Site Screening/Prioritization Checklist conducted in June 2000 listed tetrachloroethylene (PCE) as being a suspected onsite contaminant, however, PCE has not been directly observed or documented on site.

References:

Aceves, Hank, Southern California Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, December 2, 2003.

Aceves, Hank, Southern California Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, March 3, 2004.

Cohan, Amanda, WESTON, Site Reconnaissance, Gluall Wood Products, May 11, 2004.

County of Los Angeles, Hazardous Materials Control Program, Industrial Survey, Maxco Woodworking and Manufacturing, March 5, 1990.

Rigg, Scott, City of Vernon, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, November 25, 2003.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Region IX Site Screening/Prioritization Checklist, Gluall Wood Products, June 23, 2000.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, GIS Report, Gluall Wood Products, February 13, 2004.

Williams, Jim, City of Huntington Park, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Denise Leong, WESTON, November 24, 2003.

2. On March 5, 1990, a Notice of Violation (NOV) was issued to the Gluall site for inadequate storage and illegal disposal of hazardous waste. A containment factor of 10 is assigned.

Reference:

County of Los Angeles, Department of Health Services, Notice of Violation and Order to Comply, Maxco Woodworking and Manufacturing, March 5, 1990.

- 3. A net precipitation factor value of 3 is assigned based on Figure 3-2 in the HRS Final Rule.
- 4. Depth to the uppermost aquifer beneath the site (Gaspur aquifer) is approximately 100 feet. A depth to aquifer factor value of 3 is assigned.

Reference:

- California Department of Water Resources, California's Groundwater Bulletin No. 118, Coastal Plain of Los Angeles Groundwater Basin, Central Subbasin, February 27, 2004.
- 5. Geologic materials in the vadose zone between ground surface and the top of the Gaspur aquifer are characterized as fine sand. Because there is little available information about the lithology beneath the site, a conservative estimation of 10⁻⁴ was assigned for hydraulic conductivity. The thickness to groundwater is approximately 100 feet, resulting in a travel time factor value of 25.

Reference:

- California Department of Water Resources, California's Groundwater Bulletin No. 118, Coastal Plain of Los Angeles Groundwater Basin, Central Subbasin, February 27, 2004.
- 6. Hazardous substances used on site include, but are not limited to: waste oil, paints and solvents. Information regarding the specific chemicals used onsite is not known. Common chemicals associated with wood paints and solvents include petroleum-based substances and acetone. Petroleum-based substances are excluded from CERCLA regulation by the petroleum exclusion. A toxicity/mobility value of 1 is assigned.

Table 1: Human Toxicity/Mobility Factor Values							
Hazardous Substance	Toxicity	Groundwater Mobility	Toxicity/Mobility				
Acetone	1	1	1				

References:

County of Los Angeles, Hazardous Materials Control Program, Industrial Survey, Maxco Woodworking and Manufacturing, March 5, 1990.

Seton Resource Center, Material Safety Data Sheet, Paint Thinner, www.sentonresourcecenter.com, accessed July 2, 2008.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Superfund Chemical Data Matrix, January 27, 2004.

- The hazardous waste quantity could not be adequately determined at this time. A default factor of 10 is assigned.
- 8. The Gluall site lies within the Central Subbasin in the Coastal Plain of the Los Angeles

Groundwater Basin. Throughout the Central Basin, groundwater occurs in Holocene alluvium and the Pleistocene Lakewood and San Pedro Formations. The aquifers underlying the Gluall site are, in descending order: the Gaspur, Semiperched, Bellflower, Gardena, Gage, Silverado, Lynwood, and Sunnyside. Throughout much of the subbasin, the aquifers are confined; however semipermeable aquicludes allow aquifers to be interconnected. Although sufficient evidence is not available at this time, aquifer interconnection between the Gaspur through the Sunnyside is projected.

The nearest drinking water wells are Converse Well #1 and Converse Well #2. These wells are within the Florence-Graham water system operated by the Southern California Water Company (SCWC) and are located approximately 0.6 mile southeast of the Gluall site. An additional well, Goodyear #4, operated by SCWC is located approximately 0.5 mile southwest of the site and is offline due to trichloroethylene (TCE) and PCE contamination. This contamination is not suspected of being attributable to the Gluall site because there is not substantial evidence that VOCs were used on site. A nearest well factor of 9 is assigned.

References:

Aceves, Hank, Southern California Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, December 2, 2003.

Aceves, Hank, Southern California Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, March 3, 2004.

California Department of Water Resources, California's Groundwater Bulletin No. 118, Coastal Plain of Los Angeles Groundwater Basin, Central Subbasin, February 27, 2004.

9. As of 2003, numerous drinking water wells within 4 miles of the site have had elevated concentrations of VOCs. SCWC's Goodyear #4 well was offline due to concentrations of PCE and TCE exceeding Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) in the water supply. The City of Vernon's Well #18 was offline due to elevated concentrations of perchlorate, 1,2-dichloroethane, and TCE. The City of Huntington Park's Well #15 and Well #17 had concentrations of VOC's exceeding MCLs. Both wells operated by the City of Huntington Park are active and being treated with air stripping and activated carbon. VOC levels are non-detect prior to distribution.

No Level I or Level II concentration values are assigned at this time because there are other suspected sources of VOCs in the vicinity of the Gluall site and VOCs have not been directly observed or documented on site.

References:

Aceves, Hank, Southern California Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, December 2, 2003.

Aceves, Hank, Southern California Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, March 3, 2004.

Rigg, Scott, City of Vernon, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, November 25, 2003.

- Williams, Jim, City of Huntington Park, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Denise Leong, WESTON, November 24, 2003.
- Numerous companies operate groundwater supply wells within 4 miles of the Gluall site. The SCWC, City of Vernon Water Department, City of Huntington Park Water Department, Walnut Park Mutual Water Company, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, City of South Gate Public Works, Maywood Mutual Water Company #1, and Maywood Mutual Water Company #2 were evaluated and together operate a total of 36 wells that serve a population of approximately 4,072,701. The active drinking water well information for these systems and the groundwater apportionment calculations are presented in Table 2.

References:

- Aceves, Hank, Southern California Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, December 2, 2003.
- Gonzales, Martin, Walnut Park Mutual Water Company, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Amanda K. Cohan, WESTON, January 26, 2006.
- Hernandez, Ron, City of South Gate, Public Works Department, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Denise Leong, WESTON, December 2, 2003.
- Kiechler, Patricia, Upper Los Angeles, River Area Watermaster, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Denise Leong, WESTON, December 2, 2003.
- Palos, Sergio, Maywood Mutual Water Company #1, Telephone Conversation recorded on Contact Report by Amanda K. Cohan, WESTON, January 26, 2006.
- Rickabaugh, Warren, Maywood Mutual Water Company #2, Telephone Conversation recorded on Contact Report by Amanda K. Cohan, WESTON, January 26, 2006.
- Rigg, Scott, City of Vernon, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Michelle Zehr, WESTON, November 25, 2003.
- Williams, Jim, City of Huntington Park, Telephone conversation recorded on Contact Report by Denise Leong, WESTON, November 24, 2003.
- 11. This site is located in an urban area. Wells located within the target distance limit are most likely not used for commercial food crop irrigation, commercial livestock watering, commercial food preparation, or recreational purposes.
- 12. There are no designated wellhead protection areas in the vicinity of the Gluall site.

			Table 2:	Groundwat	ter Populatio	n Apporti	onment Cal	culations			
			Blended Drinl	king Water Sy	stem Purveyor						
	1	Number of We	lls Operated b	y Each Purvey	yor Within 4 M	iles of the Sit	e				
Distance Ring (Miles)	Southern California Water Company - Florence Graham System	City of Vernon	City of Huntington Park	Walnut Park Mutual Water Company	Los Angeles Department of Water And Power	City of South Gate	Maywood Mutual Water Company #1	Maywood Mutual Water Company #2	Total Number of Wells Within Distance Ring	Population Served by Wells Within Distance Ring	Distance- Weighted Populatio n Values (HRS Table 3- 12)
0 to .25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>.25 to 0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>0.5 to 1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8,894	1,669
>1 to 2	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	35,645	9,385
>2 to 3	0	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	9	47,522	6,778
>3 to 4	0	2	1	0	7	3	2	2	17	101,725	13,060
	To	tal Number of	Wells and Im	ported Water	Intakes Supplyi	ng Each Syst	tem			SUM:	30,892
•	6 wells/ 1 intake	8 wells/ 1 intake	6 wells/ 1 intake	2 wells 1 intake	78 wells 1 intakes	10 wells/ 0 intakes	2 wells/ 1 intake	2 wells/ 1 intake	Potential Contami nation Factor	SUM/10:	3,089
		Per	cent Imported	Water Supply	ying Each Syste	em					
	<40%	18%	<40%	40%	85%	0	20%	40%	Value		
	Total Population Served by Each System										
	31,126	45,000	20,000	18,000	3,850,000	96,375	5,500	6,700			
		A	pportioned Po	pulation Serve	ed by Each Wel	1					
	31,126/7 = 4,447	45,000/9 = 5,000	20,000/7 = 2,857	18,000/3 = 6,000	(3850000*.1 5)/78 = 7,404	96,357/10 = 9,636	5,500/3 = 1,833	6,700/3 = 2,233			

Pages 9-56 redacted pursuant to FOIA ex 9